Integration of parametric design tools with artificial intelligence in the construction industry - a review

Bryan Taico-Valverde^{1*}, Misael Castillo-Sosa²

^{1,2} Universidad Nacional Federico Villarreal, Lima, Perú Correspondent author: bryantaico@gmail.com

Article info	Abstract
Keywords: Parametric design Parametric tool Artificial intelligence Construction	 Background: Technology continues to reshape the design and execution of construction projects in today's global scenario. Objective: The objectives of the research are focused on providing insight into the most prominent Parametric Design (PD) tools in the construction sector, accurately identifying the Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques and algorithms used in the PD of buildings and structures, and prominently highlighting the benefits derived from the collaboration between these tools and AI in the context of construction. Methods: The present review is carried out using the Prisma methodology, with a specific search string, and applied to the determined scientific databases, between the years 2015 and 2023 and using exclusion and inclusion criteria. Results: The research concludes that the integration of parametric tools and AI, with special attention to Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper, has a significant impact on building through adaptive and complex models. Collaboration with artificial neural networks (ANN) and convolutional neural networks (CNN) enables detailed simulations and automations. Conclusions: The benefits are numerous: optimized designs, automation, improved quality and efficient decision-making, all of which drive innovation in construction projects.

1. Introduction

In today's dynamic and ever-changing global scenario, technology continues to be a driving force reshaping the design and execution of construction projects. This paper embarks on an exploration of the intersection of two transformative tools: PD [1] and AI [2]. The main objective of this research is to deepen the scope of the most influential PD tools used in construction [3], [4], with special attention to powerful platforms such as Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper [5]. Simultaneously, it aims to uncover the impact of AI algorithms [6], including Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) [7], [8] and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) [9], [10], which are reshaping the landscape of design and construction processes. This research goes beyond the mere identification of these leading-edge tools but aims to shed light on the tangible advantages derived from the fusion of PD and AI in the construction industry [11]. These advantages range from design optimization, facilitating the creation of more efficient and sustainable structures, to task automation, thus conserving valuable time and resources [12]. This article explores the immense potential arising from the synergistic integration of PD and AI, ultimately improving decision-making processes, and increasing safety and quality levels in construction projects. In essence, it promises a transformative path for the construction industry.

Different DP tools are integrated with AI to address complex designs and improve the performance of construction projects. For example, in [13], a project developed with DP tools is analyzed and its relationship with daylighting is explored; furthermore, the principles and contests of DP are examined, and it is concluded that its application can be very effective if used with the right tools. In [14], the use of parametric modeling is examined to improve the design of infrastructures, such as roads and bridges, highlighting its flexibility and error-reduction capability. It highlights the importance of sustainability and the identification of research areas for more efficient development, as well as the need for guidelines on the use of parametric models in infrastructure design to address variations and barriers to their widespread adoption. In [15], PD approaches for integrating solar energy into buildings are reviewed and it is shown that PD facilitates multidisciplinary collaboration and efficiency in sustainable solar building design. It also discusses the importance of design elements and addresses a gap in the literature by reviewing existing parametric models. According to [16], there are three types of computational design in architecture: generative, algorithmic, and parametric; in this case, their evolution and the importance of AI and Machine Learning (ML) in the design process are explored. This article resolves that the use of AI and ML streamlines the design process, and the PD allows for better solutions to specialists. Similarly, in [17], it is

analyzed how AI is used in the architecture. It is shown that the use of PD and AI tools, together, serves to perform more detailed and accurate simulations and analyses. The study reveals the most important subfields of AI, which provide a better view of its current state. Likewise, in [18], ML in architecture, construction, and engineering is investigated, identifying disciplines, problems, and tools used in this intersection; at the same time, it reveals the growing use of ML methodologies in different areas, such as sustainability, historical and cultural structures, intelligent buildings, etc.

2. Methodology

The present Systematic Literature Review (SLR) research is developed using the Prism methodology [19], which helps to document the information collected from articles that are directly related to the main topic of study. In addition, the methodology presents the analyzed publications comprehensively and accurately, helping stakeholders to make evidence-based decisions. Its structure serves as a guide and ensures that the development of the research is more organized and clearer. The steps of this methodology are:

- Related and important documents related to the topic under study are identified.
- The articles are analyzed, exclusion and inclusion criteria are applied, and duplicate documents are eliminated.
- Eligibility analysis is performed.
- Final papers are selected for in-depth review.

2.1. Research questions

A review of selected articles and papers investigating PD and its tools is performed. These studies integrate AI techniques and are used in the construction sector for various purposes. To carry out the SLR, the following research questions (RQ) are formulated:

- RQ1: What PD tools are most frequently used in the construction industry?
- RQ2: What AI techniques and/or algorithms are most used in the PD of buildings and structures?
- RQ3: What are the benefits of integrating PD tools with AI in the construction industry?

2.2. Search strategy

To carry out the research, a specific search string is developed, and different filters are applied for each of the databases used, which are: Ebsco Host, IEEE Xplores, Science Direct, Scopus, Springer Link, and Web of Science. The criteria are detailed in Table 1. The search string aims to identify documents related to the research topic and then apply the inclusion and exclusion criteria, detailed in Table according to the Prisma statement, to determine which documents will be selected and used in the article, using an SLR matrix.

Data Base	Equation	Filters
EBSCO HOST		Year: 2015-2023
IEEE Xplore		Year: 2015-2023
ScienceDirect	("parametric model" OR "parametric CAD" OR "parametric software" OR "parametric tool" OR "parametric	Refine by: Years: 2015 - 2023. Publication title: Automation in Construction, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence.
Scopus	design") AND (construction OR architecture) AND ("machine	Year: 2015-2023
Springer Link	learning" OR "artificial intelligence")	Year: 2015 - 2023. Discipline: Engineering. Subdiscipline: Computer-Aided Engineering (CAD, CAE) and Design
Web Of Science		Year: 2015-2023

Table 1 Search string and filters applied to each database

Table 2 Inclusion, exclusion, and justification criteria

Inclusion criteriaJustificationInclude studies related to PD and/or its tools applied to To examine the contribution made to the construction projects.to To examine the contribution made to the construction industry and achieve the purpose of this article.

Include studies that apply AI models and/or algorithms along with parametric tools in construction projects.	To examine possible suggestions for improving construction processes and their effects on construction projects.
Include research that has been published in the last nine years (2015-2023).	The most current research possible to obtain the most effective and up-to-date results.
Documents in a reliable database.	Articles from reliable sources to ensure accurate research results.
Exclusion Criteria	Justification
Brief documents.	There will be no manuals or similar research.
Items over 9 years old.	Use only recent articles.

Articles that are not pertinent to the subject matter.	They do not contribute to achieving the objective.
Very general articles.	They lack specific arguments to help achieve the objective.
Articles in Spanish	Articles in English are more reliable for research.

Fig. 1 shows the phases of the Prisma statement to select the scientific articles to be used in the development of the research. The first phase consists of identifying articles in selected databases using a search string, resulting in 12,527 articles. In the second phase, the inclusion and exclusion criteria described in Table 2 are applied and those that are duplicated are eliminated, resulting in 465 articles. In the third phase, eligibility, the titles were analyzed for words or phrases directly related to the research objective, leaving 159 articles selected and 306 excluded. In the fourth phase, the articles relevant to the research were chosen by reviewing the abstracts in depth and analyzing their connection with the topic under study, resulting in the exclusion of 113 documents and the selection of 46 relevant articles that will serve as the basis for the research in the following areas:

- The PD tools most used in the construction sector.
- The AI techniques and/or algorithms most used in the PD of buildings and structures.
- The benefits of integrating PD tools with AI in the construction sector.



Fig. 1 Diagram of the scientific steps for the selection of scientific articles according to the PRIS-MA methodology

3. Results and Discussion

The first section of the text delves into a bibliometric analysis, meticulously examining 46 articles. The second part explores PD tools, AI techniques implemented in PD, and the advantages of integrating both tools for effective construction project management.

3.1 Bibliometric analysis

VOSviewer is a program that performs bibliometric analysis and shows the connections between journals, researchers, or publications through citation data. By examining the use of keywords, this study sheds light on the relationship between PD tools and AI in the construction sector. of Fig. 2 shows the bibliometric map with the connections and associations between 18 groups of keywords. The most used keywords are DP, ML, IA, and Architectural Design; each with 17, 7, 6, and 4 occurrences each respectively.



Fig. 2 Bibliometric map of the relationships between keywords

3.2 Manuscript analysis

Six databases were chosen for the article selection process: EBSCO Host, IEEE Xplore, Science Direct, Scopus, Springer Link, and Web of Science. The initial search yielded 12,527 articles, but after applying the agreed criteria and using the Prisma methodology, 46 relevant articles were obtained and included in the research. Scopus and Web of Science had the highest number of articles selected, with 11 documents each, representing 48% of the total. This information is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Figure 3 shows an upward trend in the number of research conducted on the topic at hand since 2018, with a slight decrease in 2023 because only part of the year has elapsed. 2019 and 2022 saw the highest peaks in the number of relevant articles published, with nine articles each. The year 2021 also witnessed a significant contribution, with eight relevant articles, and it is worth mentioning that the Web of Science database had the highest number of articles that year, with four papers. These results suggest a growing interest in the subject among researchers and, in addition, the field is expanding with new knowledge and discoveries.

China and the United States have the highest number of publications, with 12 and 10 articles, respectively, followed by Italy and Australia, with 4 and 3 articles, respectively. The other countries have only 1 to 2 publications each. In addition, Asia and Europe have the highest number of published articles, with 17 and 13 respectively. On the other hand, America, Oceania, and Africa have 11, 4, and 1 publication respectively. All this is shown in Fig. 4.



Fig. 3 Number of articles by year of publication



Fig. 4 Number of Articles by country and continent

3.3 PD tools are most frequently used in the construction industry

PD tools allow designers to create digital models that can be modified according to changes in design parameters. This allows them to explore various possibilities and efficiently generate complex shapes and structures. In [14] the following PD tools are identified: Autodesk Inventor, Siemens NX, Dassault CATIA, OpensCAD, Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper, Auto-desk Revit + Dynamo. In [13], programs such as CATIA, 3D Studio Max, and Rhinoceros 3D+ Grasshopper are used together with the plugins: Diva, Ladybug, Honeybee, and Galapagos. In [18], various PD tools are shown, and it is concluded that Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper as the most demanded design tool in the construction sector. Similarly, SLR identifies Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper as the most used PD tool in construction, with the highest impact on the results, followed by Autodesk Revit + Dynamo, as shown in Table III. In addition, 37 of the 56 occurrences related to the use of Rhinoceros software use various plugins to achieve the design objective, as seen in Table 3.

T	1 1		D		1 .	. 1
	abla	× - <	Para	motrio	dogian	toole
10	une		<i>i</i> uru	nenne	aesign	uools

Item	PD Tool	Occurrences	%	References
1.00	AutoCAD 3D	1	1%	[20]
2.00	CATIA	3	4%	[21], [22], [23]
3.00	TopSolid	1	1%	[24]
4.00	ArchiCAD	1	1%	[25]
5.00	ArchiCAD + PARAM-O	2	2%	[26], [27]
6.00	Autodesk Revit	6	7%	[20], [25], [28], [29], [30], [31]
7.00	Autodesk Revit + Dynamo	12	15%	[20], [21], [22], [26], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [39]
8.00	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper	56	68%	(**)

Item	Plugin	Occurrences	%	References (**)
1	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper	19	34%	[21], [23], [25], [26], [32], [33], [34], [35], [38], [39], [40], [41], [42], [43], [44], [45], [46], [47], [48]
2	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Biomorpher	2	4%	[27], [34]
3	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Colibri	1	2%	[34]
4	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Conduit	1	2%	[34]
5	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Crow	1	2%	[34]
6	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + DIVA	1	2%	[49]
7	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Dodo	1	2%	[34]
8	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Galapagos	6	11%	[34], [36], [50], [51], [52], [53]
9	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Generator	1	2%	[34]
10	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Genoform	1	2%	[34]
11	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Goat	1	2%	[34]
12	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Honeybee	4	7%	[22], [49], [53], [54]
13	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Karamba	1	2%	[54]
14	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Ladybug	5	9%	[22], [49], [53], [54], [55]
15	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Lunchbox	1	2%	[34]
16	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Monkey	1	2%	[24]
17	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Octopus	3	5%	[34], [53], [54]
18	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Opposum	1	2%	[34]

Table 4 Grasshopper plugin occurrences

International Journal of Educational Practices and Engineering IJEPE | e ISSN 3028-9882 | Vol. 1 No. 1 (2024) | OPEN ACCES

19Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Owl12%[34]20Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Silvereye12%[34]21Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + StormCloud12%[34]22Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + TT Toolbox12%[34]23Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Wallace12%[34]					
20Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Silvereye12%[34]21Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + StormCloud12%[34]22Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + TT Toolbox12%[34]23Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Wallace12%[34]	19	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Owl	1	2%	[34]
21Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + StormCloud12%[34]22Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + TT Toolbox12%[34]23Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Wallace12%[34]	20	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Silvereye	1	2%	[34]
22Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + TT Toolbox2%[34]23Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Wallace12%[34]	21	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + StormCloud	1	2%	[34]
23 Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Wallace 1 2% [34]	22	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + TT Toolbox	1	2%	[34]
	23	Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper + Wallace	1	2%	[34]

3.4 AI techniques and/or algorithms most used in the DP of buildings and structures

The integration of PD tools and AI is used to perform more detailed and accurate simulations and analyses. In [17], the most important subfields of AI are studied, such as expert systems, ML, evolutionary design, generative design, Mult objective optimization, and Deep Learning (DL) together with its techniques and algorithms: ANN and CNN. Likewise, in [18], the growing interest in the use of new technologies related to AI, ML, and its various techniques such as ANN, Distributed Constraint Optimization Problem (DCOP), CNN, Convolutional-Deconvolutional Neural Networks (CD-NN), Random Forest (RF), Support Neighbor Machines (SVM), Decision Tree, Fast Forest Regression and Fast Tree Regression; all of them are integrated to the DP tools detailed in the previous point. The present research reveals the different algorithms and their respective subfields of operation; in addition, it highlights the architectures and algorithms most used by designers in the construction sector, namely ANN, Genetic Algorithm (GA), and CNNs; those are presented in Table 5.

Table 5 AI algorithms and their most used fields in parametric design of buildings and structures

IA Field	AI Techniques and/or Algorithms	Occurrenc es	References
D I '	Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)	6	[24], [33], [46], [51], [53], [55]
Deep Learning	Deep Neural Networks (DNN)	1	[56]
(DL)	Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN)	4	[24], [43], [53], [57]
	Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)	16	[25], [31], [33], [38], [41], [47], [50], [51], [53], [56], [58], [59], [60], [61], [62], [63]
	Backpropagation Neural Network (BPNN)	2	[45], [58]
	Decision Tree	3	[38], [45], [51]
	Extremely Randomized Trees (ERT)	1	[45]
	Fuzzy C-means	1	[37]
Mashina	Genetic Algorithm (GA)	9	[22], [29], [36], [40], [50], [51], [53], [54], [62]
Machine Learning (ML)	Gradient Boosting Regression Trees (GBDT)	2	[45], [47]
Learning (ML)	Interactive Evolution Algorithm	2	[27], [34]
	K-means	1	[25]
	K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)	2	[51], [64]
	Logistic Regression (LR)	1	[51]
	Random Forest (RF)	3	[38], [45], [47]
	Support Vector Machine (SVM)	5	[42], [45], [47], [51], [64]
	Xtreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost)	1	[51]
	Adaptive Genetic Algorithm (AGA)	1	[64]
	Adaptive Particle Swarm Optimization (APSO)	1	[64]
Optimization	Covariance Matrix Adaptation with Evolution Strategy (CMA-ES)	1	[38]
	Evolutionary Programming	1	[51]
	Evolutionary Strategies	1	[51]
	Genetic Programming	1	[51]
	Interactive Genetic Algorithm (IGA)	2	[27], [50]
	Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)	2	[50], [51]
	Simple Genetic Algorithm (SGA)	1	[50]
	Simulated Annealing Algorithm	1	[50]

Fig. 5 shows the domains of artificial intelligence, focusing specifically on DL, ML, and optimization. Each domain has its own set of algorithms, which are characteristic of their functions. This visual representation provides a clear and concise illustration of the level of interconnectedness between the use of each algorithm and its relationship to various domains. In addition, Fig. 6 provides a graphical representation of the percentage of occurrences of the algorithms identified in the research. These have been classified according to specific fields of artificial intelligence, providing a visual perspective that highlights the frequency of use of each algorithm in their respective domains. This visual analysis reveals the prevalence and strategic distribution of these algorithms, providing a clear view of their impact in various AI domains.







Fig. 6 Algorithms and their percentage of occurrence in the research

3.5 The benefits of integrating PD tools with AI in the construction industry

The integration of PD tools with AI is radically transforming the construction sector. In [16], it is highlighted that the synergy of these tools optimizes the design process and achieves better quality results; likewise, it generates automation in the processes or specific tasks with greater precision and occupies less time and resources. Similarly, in [13], [15], [17], the flexibility to automatic changes and immediate updates of the digital model when the design parameters are modified according to the user's needs are highlighted, allowing the development of a variety of optimal solutions more efficiently. Based on the results of the present research, Table 6 classifies the benefits that encompass all the advantages resulting from the collaboration between PD tools and AI into seven groups.

Table 6 The advantages of integrating PD tools with AI

Benefits	References
Design Optimization: The combination of PD and AI allows a wide range of design options to be automatically explored and optimized. Algorithms can search for solutions that meet multiple criteria, such as energy efficiency.	[22], [24], [25], [26], [27], [29], [31], [34], [35], [36], [38], [40], [42], [45], [50], [51], [53], [54], [56], [57], [58], [59], [60], [61], [62], [63], [64], [65]
Advanced analysis and classification: AI can analyze large data sets from PD tools to identify patterns and trends, helping to make informed decisions and anticipate problems in the design phase.	[22], [26], [33], [36], [37], [41], [45], [46], [55], [56], [58], [63], [65]
Automated Generation: AI can automatically generate designs based on set parameters and constraints, which speeds up the creative process and allows designers to explore more options in less time.	[24], [25], [26], [27], [29], [31], [34], [38], [40], [41], [42], [43], [46], [47], [51], [57], [59], [60], [61], [62], [63]
Customization and Adaptation: AI can tailor DPs to meet specific customer needs and preferences, resulting in unique personalized designs.	[27], [31], [35], [58], [63]
Resource Optimization: Combining PD and AI can optimize the use of resources such as materials and energy, resulting in more sustainable and efficient designs.	[33], [36], [37], [38], [46], [47], [51], [53], [59], [62], [64]
Predictions and Simulations: AI can simulate and predict based on historical and real-time data, making it useful for forecasting how a building will perform under different conditions and anticipating potential problems.	[26], [36], [37], [41], [42], [45], [47], [50], [51], [53], [54], [55], [57], [60]
Error reduction: AI automation and pattern detection can help identify potential design errors before construction, saving time and resources.	[25], [36], [38], [40], [43], [50], [60], [62]

4. Conclusions (Times New Roman, 11 pt, Bold)

The present SLR is developed with the Prisma methodology, in which after applying the search string in the determined databases and, between the years 2015 and 2023, it yields 12,527 articles at first, the same that are submitted to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, resulting in 46 relevant articles that will be included for the research. In the in-depth analysis of the manuscripts collected, the great potential that the integration of the tools under discussion allows is identified.

According to the results of this research, it is concluded and determined the great influence of PD tools and the integration of AI in the construction sector. PD tools allow designers to create adaptive and complex models, with Rhinoceros 3D + Grasshopper being one of the most widely used. Collaboration with AI, which encompasses techniques such as ANNs and CNNs, revolutionizes the design process by enabling detailed simulations and task automation. The benefits of this synergy are grouped into seven categories, including design optimization, task automation, quality improvement, and decision-making efficiency, ultimately driving innovation and efficiency in construction projects. These findings underscore the importance of adopting these technologies in the construction sector to achieve more efficient and higher-quality results.

Limitations of this research include the need for greater depth in explaining how AI algorithms are applied in the construction industry, the generalization of benefits that may vary with circumstances, the lack of quantitative impact assessment, and the possibility that technological advances have changed the relevance of the findings. These limitations point to areas for future research that can provide a more complete and updated understanding of the integration of these technologies in the construction industry.

5. References

- [1] Y. Ikeda, C. M. Herr, D. Holzer, S. Kaijima, and M. J. Kim, "A Parametric Description for Metamorphosis of Islamic Geometric Patterns," Emerging Experience in Past, Present and Future of Digital Architecture, Proceedings of the 20th International Conference of the Association for Computer-Aided Architectural Design Research in Asia (CAADRIA 2015) / Daegu 20-22 May 2015, pp. 593-602, pp. 593-602, 2015, doi: 10.52842/CONF.CAADRIA.2015.593.
- [2] Y. Wang and L. Chen, "Architectural and Landscape Garden Planning Integrated with Artificial Intelligence Parametric Analysis," Security and Communication Networks, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/8577269.
- [3] A. Stals, S. Jancart, and C. Elsen, "Parametric modeling tools in small architectural offices: Towards an adapted design process model," Des Stud, vol. 72, p. 100978, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1016/J.DESTUD.2020.100978.
- [4] J. Bielski, C. Langenhan, B. Weyand, M. Neuber, V. Eisenstadt, and K.-D. Althoff, "Topological Queries and Analysis of School Buildings Based on Building Information Modeling (BIM) Using Parametric Design Tools and Visual Programming to Develop New Building Typologies".
- [5] T. Teschemacher and K. U. Bletzinger, "CAD-integrated parametric modular construction design," Engineering Reports, vol. 5, no. 8, p. e12632, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.1002/ENG2.12632.
- [6] N. Khean et al., "THE INTROSPECTION OF DEEP NEURAL NETWORKS-TOWARDS ILLUMINATING THE BLACK BOX Training Architects Machine Learning via Grasshopper Definitions," 2018.
- [7] J. Cudzik and K. Radziszewski, "Artificial Intelligence Aided Architectural Design".

[8] N. Bauyrzhan, B. Sakysh, A. Zhanar, P. Nikolay, K. Ardak, and U. Kumys, "Using Machine Learning to Analyze the Learning Process for Solving Mathematical Problems," International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), vol. 16, no. 21, pp. 114–124, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.3991/IJIM.V16I21.36065.

- [9] G. Özel and B. Ennemoser, "Interdisciplinary AI A Machine Learning System for Streamlining External Aesthetic and Cultural Influences in Architecture," Ubiquity and Autonomy - Paper Proceedings of the 39th Annual Conference of the Association for Computer Aided Design in Architecture, ACADIA 2019, pp. 380–391, 2019, doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-6568-7_7/FIGURES/8.
- [10] M. L. Prasetyo et al., "Face Recognition Using the Convolutional Neural Network for Barrier Gate System," International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 138–153, May 2021, doi: 10.3991/JJIM.V15110.20175.
- [11] J. Zhang, N. Liu, and S. Wang, "Generative design and performance optimization of residential buildings based on parametric algorithm," Energy Build, vol. 244, p. 111033, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1016/J.ENBUILD.2021.111033.
- [12] N. Aso, H. Yanami, and M. Ogawa, "Automatic Parametric Modeling Technique for Structural Design Standardization," IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 81031–81041, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3196001.
- [13] A. Eltaweel and Y. SU, "Parametric design and daylighting: A literature review," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 73, pp. 1086–1103, Jun. 2017, doi: 10.1016/J.RSER.2017.02.011.
- [14] N. Khoshamadi, S. Banihashemi, M. Poshdar, H. Abbasianjahromi, A. Tabadkani, and A. Hajirasouli, "Parametric and generative mechanisms for infrastructure projects," Autom Constr, vol. 154, p. 104968, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.1016/J.AUTCON.2023.104968.
- [15] N. Bushra, "A comprehensive analysis of parametric design approaches for solar integration with buildings: A literature review," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 168, p. 112849, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1016/J.RSER.2022.112849.
- [16] B. Michelle and M. P. Gemilang, "A Bibliometric Analysis of Generative Design, Algorithmic Design, and Parametric Design in Architecture," Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Architecture, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 30–40, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.24002/JARINA.V111.4921.
- [17] B. Bölek, O. Tutal, and H. Özbaşaran, "A systematic review on artificial intelligence applications in architecture," Journal of Design for Resilience in Architecture and Planning, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 91–104, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.47818/DRArch.2023.v4i1085.
- [18] B. Topuz and N. Çakici Alp, "Machine learning in architecture," Autom Constr, vol. 154, p. 105012, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.1016/J.AUTCON.2023.105012.
- [19] J. J. Yepes-Nuñez, G. Urrútia, M. Romero-García, and S. Alonso-Fernández, "Declaración PRISMA 2020: una guía actualizada para la publicación de revisiones sistemáticas," Rev Esp Cardiol, vol. 74, no. 9, pp. 790–799, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1016/J.RECESP.2021.06.016.
- [20] C. Mocerino, "Digital revolution in efficient self-organization of buildings: towards intelligent robotics," in 2018 Energy and Sustainability for Small Developing Economies (ES2DE), 2018, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/ES2DE.2018.8494237.
- [21] H. Luo, L. Li, and K. Chen, "Parametric modeling for detailed typesetting and deviation correction in shield tunneling construction," Autom Constr, vol. 134, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2021.104052.
- [22] F. Bianconi and M. Filippucci, "WOOD, CAD AND AI: Digital modelling as place of convergence of natural and artificial intelligent to design timber architecture," Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering, vol. 24, pp. 3–60, 2019, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-03676-8_1/FULLTEXT.HTML.
- [23] J. H. Lee, M. J. Ostwald, and N. Gu, "Design Strategies and Creativity," Design Thinking: Creativity, Collaboration and Culture, pp. 33–63, 2020, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-56558-9_2.
- [24] W. He and X. Yang, "Artificial Intelligence Design, from Research to Practice," Proceedings of the 2019 DigitalFUTURES, pp. 189–198, 2020, doi: 10.1007/978-981-13-8153-9_17.
- [25] O. Roman, M. Avena, E. M. Farella, F. Remondino, and A. Spanò, "A semi-Automated approach to model architectural elements in scan-To-bim processes," in International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences - ISPRS Archives, International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 2023, pp. 1345–1352. doi: 10.5194/isprs-Archives-XLVIII-M-2-2023-1345-2023.
- [26] S. H. Chen and F. Xue, "Automatic BIM detailing using deep features of 3D views," Autom Constr, vol. 148, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2023.104780.
- [27] M. Meloni et al., "Designing origami tessellations composed of quadrilateral meshes and degree-4 vertices for engineering applications," Autom Constr, vol. 142, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2022.104482.
- [28] K. M. Aljebory and M. QaisIssam, "Developing AI Based Scheme for Project Planning by Expert Merging Revit and Primavera Software," in 16th International Multi-Conference on Systems, Signals and Devices, SSD 2019, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 2019, pp. 404–412. doi: 10.1109/SSD.2019.8893274.
- [29] L. S. Marcolino et al., "Agents vote for the environment: Designing energy-efficient architecture," in AAAI Workshop -Technical Report, AI Access Foundation, 2015, pp. 115–119. [Online]. Available: https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84964620228&partnerID=40&md5=0950026c7f45fa1f555b5ea1efdd13aa
- [30] L. Wang, B. Zhao, Q. Ye, A. Feng, and W. Feng, "A BIM-Based Data-Driven Modeling Method," in ICCREM 2021: Challenges of the Construction Industry under the Pandemic - Proceedings of the International Conference on Construction and Real Estate Management 2021, American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), 2021, pp. 319–330. doi: 10.1061/9780784483848.036.
- [31] H. Abdirad and P. Mathur, "Artificial intelligence for BIM content management and delivery: Case study of association rule mining for construction detailing," ADVANCED ENGINEERING INFORMATICS, vol. 50, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.aei.2021.101414.
- [32] A. Stals, S. Jancart, and C. Elsen, "Parametric modeling tools in small architectural offices: Towards an adapted design process model," Des Stud, vol. 72, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1016/J.DESTUD.2020.100978.
- [33] J. L. Reategui, "Wood wide web design. A computational model to adapt the cities design with mycorrhizal networks," in 2022 IEEE International Humanitarian Technology Conference (IHTC), 2022, pp. 108–113. doi: 10.1109/IHTC56573.2022.9998366.
- [34] N. C. Brown, V. Jusiega, and C. T. Mueller, "Implementing data-driven parametric building design with a flexible toolbox," Autom Constr, vol. 118, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2020.103252.
- [35] S. Bakhshi, M. R. Chenaghlou, F. Pour Rahimian, D. J. Edwards, and N. Dawood, "Integrated BIM and DfMA parametric and algorithmic design based collaboration for supporting client engagement within offsite construction," Autom Constr, vol. 133, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2021.104015.

- [36] S. Banihashemi, A. Tabadkani, and M. R. Hosseini, "Integration of parametric design into modular coordination: A construction waste reduction workflow," Autom Constr, vol. 88, pp. 1–12, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2017.12.026.
- [37] K. L. Feng, W. Z. Lu, and Y. W. Wang, "Assessing environmental performance in early building design stage: An integrated parametric design and machine learning method," Sustain Cities Soc, vol. 50, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2019.101596.
- [38] B. Ekici, Z. T. Kazanasmaz, M. Turrin, M. F. Tasgetiren, and I. S. Sariyildiz, "Multi-zone optimisation of high-rise buildings using artificial intelligence for sustainable metropolises. Part 1: Background, methodology, setup, and machine learning results," SOLAR ENERGY, vol. 224, pp. 373–389, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2021.05.083.
- [39] N. C. Brown and C. T. Mueller, "Quantifying diversity in parametric design: a comparison of possible metrics," AI EDAM-ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR ENGINEERING DESIGN ANALYSIS AND MANUFACTURING, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 40–53, 2019, doi: 10.1017/S0890060418000033.
- [40] D. Fisher-Gewirtzman and N. Polak, "A learning automated 3D architecture synthesis model: demonstrating a computer governed design of minimal apartment units based on human perceptual and physical needs," Archit Sci Rev, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 301–312, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1080/00038628.2019.1611537.
- [41] N. C. Brown and C. T. Mueller, "Design variable analysis and generation for performance-based parametric modeling in architecture," International Journal of Architectural Computing, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 36–52, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1177/1478077118799491.
- [42] Q. Yang, J. G. Cruz-Garza, and S. Kalantari, "MindSculpt: Using a Brain-Computer Interface to Enable Designers to Create Diverse Geometries by Thinking," in Association for Computer Aided Design in Architecture Annual Conference, ACADIA 2021, ACADIA, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85135356170&partnerID=40&md5=7eda5cba979cfaca05e50f82f260f39e
- [43] F. C. Kim and J. Huang, "Perspectival GAN: Architectural form-making through dimensional transformation," in Proceedings of the International Conference on Education and Research in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe, Education and research in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe, 2022, pp. 341–350. [Online]. Available: https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85139759694&partnerID=40&md5=d2e14801e3b84fac163cfcdad0ede46d
- [44] R. R. Yu, N. Gu, M. Ostwald, and J. S. Gero, "Empirical support for problem-solution coevolution in a parametric design environment," AI EDAM-ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR ENGINEERING DESIGN ANALYSIS AND MANUFACTURING, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 33–44, 2015, doi: 10.1017/S0890060414000316.
- [45] Y. He et al., "Hybrid framework for rapid evaluation of wind environment around buildings through parametric design, CFD simulation, image processing and machine learning," Sustain Cities Soc, vol. 73, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2021.103092.
- [46] M. Ploszaj-Mazurek, E. Rynska, and M. Grochulska-Salak, "Methods to Optimize Carbon Footprint of Buildings in Regenerative Architectural Design with the Use of Machine Learning, Convolutional Neural Network, and Parametric Design," Energies (Basel), vol. 13, no. 20, 2020, doi: 10.3390/en13205289.
- [47] C. Y. Yeh and Y. S. Tsay, "Using Machine Learning to Predict Indoor Acoustic Indicators of Multi-Functional Activity Centers," APPLIED SCIENCES-BASEL, vol. 11, no. 12, 2021, doi: 10.3390/app11125641.
- [48] Y. Tong and Z. Xu, "Application of Robotic Arm Technology in Intelligent Construction," Proceedings of the 2019 DigitalFUTURES, pp. 331–345, 2020, doi: 10.1007/978-981-13-8153-9_30.
- [49] Q. B. Liu, X. Han, Y. H. Yan, and J. Ren, "A Parametric Design Method for the Lighting Environment of a Library Building Based on Building Performance Evaluation," Energies (Basel), vol. 16, no. 2, 2023, doi: 10.3390/en16020832.
- [50] Y. Zhang and G. Yang, "Optimization of the Virtual Scene Layout Based on the Optimal 3D Viewpoint," IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 110426–110443, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3214206.
- [51] M. L. Castro Pena, A. Carballal, N. Rodríguez-Fernández, I. Santos, and J. Romero, "Artificial intelligence applied to conceptual design. A review of its use in architecture," Autom Constr, vol. 124, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103550.
- [52] L. Wang, P. Janssen, and G. Ji, "Reshaping design search spaces for efficient computational design optimization in architecture," in Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Computational Creativity, ICCC 2019, Association for Computational Creativity (ACC), 2019, pp. 100–107. [Online]. Available: https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85094314541&partnerID=40&md5=dfab669b61556e5805c63dbc3c0c8e16
- [53] Y. J. Lu, W. Wu, X. C. Geng, Y. C. Liu, H. Zheng, and M. M. Hou, "Multi-Objective Optimization of Building Environmental Performance: An Integrated Parametric Design Method Based on Machine Learning Approaches," Energies (Basel), vol. 15, no. 19, 2022, doi: 10.3390/en15197031.
- [54] A. Buffi and G. M. Angelini, "Adaptive timber towers. an evolutionary prototype for the 21st century skyscraper," Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering, vol. 24, pp. 971–987, 2019, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-03676-8_39/FULLTEXT.HTML.
- - 85124656924&partnerID=40&md5=429dd859c9fb66e5c4a26eac27ca6d84
- [56] D. Bolojan, "Creative AI: Augmenting Design Potency," Architectural Design, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 22–27, May 2022, doi: 10.1002/AD.2809.
- [57] P. Veloso and R. Krishnamurti, "Spatial synthesis for architectural design as an interactive simulation with multiple agents," Autom Constr, vol. 154, p. 104997, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.1016/J.AUTCON.2023.104997.
- [58] Y. Wang and L. Chen, "Architectural and Landscape Garden Planning Integrated with Artificial Intelligence Parametric Analysis," Security and Communication Networks, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/8577269.
- [59] M. Nourbakhsh, J. Irizarry, and J. Haymaker, "Generalizable surrogate model features to approximate stress in 3D trusses," Eng Appl Artif Intell, vol. 71, pp. 15–27, May 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.engappai.2018.01.006.
- [60] H. H. Elmousalami, A. H. Elyamany, and A. H. Ibrahim, "Predicting Conceptual Cost for Field Canal Improvement Projects," J Constr Eng Manag, vol. 144, no. 11, 2018, doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001561.
- [61] A. Sebestyen, U. Hirschberg, and S. Rasoulzadeh, "Using deep learning to generate design spaces for architecture," International Journal of Architectural Computing, 2023, doi: 10.1177/14780771231168232.

- [62] C. A. Tzivelekis, L. S. Yiotis, N. A. Fountas, and A. A. Krimpenis, "Parametrically automated 3D design and manufacturing for spiral-type free-form models in an interactive CAD/CAM environment," International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 223–232, May 2017, doi: 10.1007/S12008-015-0261-8/FULLTEXT.HTML.
- [63] G. Brugnaro, A. Figliola, and A. Dubor, "Negotiated materialization: Design approaches integrating wood heterogeneity through advanced robotic fabrication," Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering, vol. 24, pp. 135-158, 2019, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-03676-8_4/FULLTEXT.HTML.
- [64] D. Wang and F. H. Chang, "Application of machine learning-based BIM in green public building design," Soft comput, vol. 27, no. 13, pp. 9031–9040, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s00500-023-08162-4.
- [65] C. Lee, S. Shin, and R. R. A. Issa, "Classification of a Free-Form Surface by Parametric Design and Machine Learning," in Construction Research Congress 2020: Project Management and Controls, Materials, and Contracts - Selected Papers from the Construction Research Congress 2020, American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), 2020, pp. 777–783. [Online]. Available: https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-

85096759116&partnerID=40&md5=4a1fa3fd04389f18f5146ca3536c5239